

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/533,022 | 03/22/2000 | Wilf LeBlanc | 17422US02 | 8407 |
| 23446 | 7590 06/23/2006 | | EXAM | INER |
| | WS HELD & MALLO | HAROLD, JEFFEREY F | | |
| SUITE 3400 | ADISON STREET | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| CHICAGO, 1 | IL 60661 | | 2614 | |

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|---|
| | 09/533,022 | LEBLANC ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Jefferey F. Harold | 2614 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuiting and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. | s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7,32,35-37,40,41,43-47,52,69-73,75-7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | wn from consideration. 77,79-81,144,149-151 and 156 is | s/are rejected. |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11. | epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage |
| | | |
| Attachment(s) | A) [] 1-4 1 0 | (DTO 442) |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | |

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 7,9,11-13,15-32,35-38,40,41,43-58,64,66-73,75-77,79-87,89-113,115-118,120,121,123-128,130-133 and 137-170.

Application/Control Number: 09/533,022

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last.

Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 7, 32, 35-37, 40, 41, 43-47, 52, 69-73, 75-77, 79-81, 144, 149-151 and 156 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,990,195. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are both drawn to the inventive concept of signal processing and echo cancellation.

Citation of Pertinent Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Song (United States Patent 6,694,019), discloses a method and apparatus for infinite return loss handler for network echo canceller.

Brox et al. (United States Patent 4,998,241), disclose an echo canceller.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jefferey F. Harold whose telephone number is 571-272-7519. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing F. Chan can be reached on 571-272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JFH

June 19, 2006

Jefferey F Harold Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2614